1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IREX
7	An Evaluation-based Program for the Development of Exchangeable Iris Imagery
8	and Support for Compact Interoperable ISO/IEC 19794-6 Records
9	
10	
11	
12	Iris Exchange (IREX) Evaluation 2008
13	Concept, Evaluation Plan and API
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	Patrick Grother
23	NIST
24	February 20, 2008
25	
26 27	
2 <i>1</i> 28	

# 2

# **Commenting on this Draft**

Feb 20, 2008: NIST welcomes comments on this draft. These should be submitted to patrick.grother@nist.gov by Tuesday March 11, 2008. Proprietary information should not be sent to NIST, and any commentary marked with phrases such as "commercial in confidence" will be ignored.

As with the first draft, the entire content of this document is open. Interested parties should feel free to address everything from motivation and scope, through the test structure, the data structures and the API, to typographical errors.

# 3

# 4 5 6

# **NIST Request for Iris Images**

NIST is eager to identify organizations in possession of iris images who might share them with NIST, and who might benefit from their use in NIST's testing effort. A number of issues would need to be addressed before such collaboration could proceed: We'd be happy to discuss these as soon as practicable.

Please email <u>patrick.grother@nist.gov</u> or phone 301 975 4157.

#### 1

# Major changes since the November 2007 draft

1	The timeline has slipped. Several commentators remarked that the schedule was too ambitious; NIST's response would be to proceed with a view to making	Revised timeline on page 4.
	<ul> <li>compressed and cropped rectilinear results available to SC 37 Working Group 3 in July 2008,</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>a final report on all aspects ahead of the U.S. meeting of SC 37 in January 2009.</li> </ul>	
2	In January 2008, SC 37 Working Group 3 voted to remove all polar formats from the revision of ISO/IEC 19794-6. We have therefore abandoned plans to test the full-polar and no-inner-boundary polar formats of ISO/IEC 19794-6:2005.	Deletions from scope in Sec. 3. Major changes to secs. 6, 7 and 8.
3	However, WG 3 also asked for contributions "on compact formats" and on "interoperability tests related to alternate compact formats".	Modified scope in Sec. 3. Refs are elevated to
	We therefore propose to explicitly evaluate implementations of the following  — the Cambridge/UK ROI-masked image documented in 37N2125 [CAM07]	Normative References in sec. 4.
	<ul> <li>the Iritech/US unsegmented polar documented in 37N2296 [IRI07] - inner and outer circles are concentric; extended to allow vendor-defined segmentation information.</li> </ul>	Revised data structures in sections 7.4 and 7.5
3	On metrics	Sec. 6.8
	<ul> <li>We propose to also quantify accuracy available without any standard-related constraints. This allows a measure of <i>sufficiency</i> - the ISO term [PERFSTD] for expressing whether the standardized format is sufficient to achieve the accuracy of fully proprietary unconstrained encodings, including zero compression.</li> <li>We will compute iris-specific SNR and PSNR values as a function of compression ratio.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>We will compute variance and upper bounds for compressed record size, per hard limits established for smartcards (for example).</li> </ul>	
4	NIST intends to exclude or segregate unmatchable images (e.g. eyes closed, missing) and other images from the various databases. The ability to handle non-ideal images is beyond the scope of this evaluation of compression and compact forms.	Sec. 6.7.
5	Separate studies for these cases:  — Only the enrollment sample is formatted and compressed, and  — Both the enrollment and verification samples are formatted and compressed.	Sec. 6.2

<sup>2</sup> 

4

Contributions received by 22 May 2008 will be considered at the July 2008 WG 03 meeting in Korea. - Unanimous

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>Document N2426</u> has this: RECOMMENDATION 3.14 – Call for Contributions on 19794-6 Revision 1 SC 37 WG 3 requests its Secretariat to issue a call for National Body contributions on the following topics in ISO/IEC 19794-6 (Revision) Biometric data interchange format - Part 6: Iris image data.

a) on interoperability tests related to alternate compact formats

b) on results of a study on iris image compression. WG3 strongly supports the proposed IREX 08 tests by NIST and would encourage NIST to examine both published compact formats together with proposed new formats, such as ROI compression.

c) on allowable intra-iris Signal/Noise ratio value

d) on effect of using available ROI implementations in COTS JPEG 2000 compressors

e) on differences in efficiency between JPEG and JPEG 2000 at various compression ratios

f) on a study incorporating the measurement method as described in US-12 of N2386rev

g) on cautioning text for the use of optional parameters in the image header about pupil centre , diameter of the pupil, iris centre and diameter of the iris.

h) on compact formats

Project History

#### Feb 20, 2008

- NIST thanks those organizations who commented on the November 2007 draft.
- NIST also thanks the members of the SC 37 Working Group 3 committee for giving oxygen to the issues involved with developing a vendor-independent standardized iris image record.
- Revised draft circulated.

### Nov 19, 2007 (18:10 EST)

Fixed erroneous byte lengths of ISO records, Table 7+8.

### Nov 19, 2007 (14:00 EST).

Typos and cut-and-paste errors fixed.

#### Nov 18, 2007

- Announcement: NIST indicates it will conduct the Iris Exchange evaluation, IREX 08, to test the capabilities
  of industry and academia to segment irides, prepare compact rectilinear and polar standard records, and
  accurately match compressed images in a cross-vendor interoperable environment.
- Posting of this initial evaluation plan, for public comment.
- Establishment of IREX homepage: <a href="http://iris.nist.gov/irex">http://iris.nist.gov/irex</a>

2 3 4

#### **Provisional Timeline**

Jan 18-24, 2009	S-24, 2009 SC37 meeting, United States		
July 7-15, 2008 SC37 meeting, Korea			
March 11, 2008	Comments on second draft due		
February 20, 2008	Release of second draft evaluation plan, for comment. This date is contingent on the breadth, depth, and quantity of comments received.		
January 7-12, 2008 SC 37 Working Group 3 meeting in Tel Aviv, Israel			
December 8, 2007	Conclusion of Initial comment period		
November, 18, 2007	Release of initial evaluation plan for comment.		

5

Assuming the IREX 08 specification can be fixed in the next edition of this document, how long would it take to implement and send the SDK?

# **Table of Contents**

1

2		X Overview	
3	2. Mo	tivation and background	7
4	2.1.	Toward compact forms	7
5	2.2.	Support for the ISO standard	7
6	3. Sco	pe	8
7	4. No	mative References	9
8	5. Abl	previations	9
9	6. Asp	ects of the test	10
10	6.1.	Fundamental concept of the test	10
11	6.2.	Segmentation on uncompressed data	11
12	6.3.	NIST invocation of compressors and de-compressors	
13	6.4.	Feature extraction on compressed data	11
14	6.5.	Interoperability space	11
15	6.6.	Offline evaluation using archival imagery	11
16	6.7.	Exclusion of bad images	11
17	6.8.	Measure sufficiency	12
18	6.9.	Uniform software interface	12
19	6.10.	Audience and options for participation	12
20	6.11.	Number of submissions	12
21	6.12.	Compression metrics	13
22	6.13.	Provision of sensor information to SDKs	13
23	6.14.	Verification performance	13
24	6.15.	Phased testing	13
25	6.16.	Open-source code for ISO/IEC 19794-6	13
26	6.17.	Standards-based evaluation	14
27	7. Dat	a structures	14
28	7.1.	Overview	14
29	7.2.	Identifying the records of the standard	14
30	7.3.	Rectilinear image record structure	14
31	7.4.	ROI-masked record structure	15
32	7.5.	Unsegmented Polar image record structure	16
33	7.6.	Auxiliary Data	18
34	8. PC-	based API specification	19
35	8.1.	Overview	19
36	8.2.	Testing interface	19
37	8.3.	Software and Documentation	24
38	8.4.	Runtime behavior	25
39	9. Ref	erences	26
40	Annex A	Application to participate in IREX	28
41	A.1	Who should participate	28
42	A.2	How to participate	28
43	A.3	NIST activity	28
44	A.4	Parties	29
45	A.5	Access to IREX validation data	29
46	A.6	Access to IREX test data	29
47	A.7	Reporting of results	30
48	A.8	Return of the supplied materials	30
19	A.9	Agreement to participate	31
50			

# 1 No table of figures entries found.

# 2 List of Tables

3	Table 1 – NIST's iris evaluations	7
4	Table 1 – NIST's iris evaluations	9
5	Table 3 – IREX 08 image variants	10
6	Table 4 – IREX classes of participation	12
7	Table 5 – Identifying variants via CBEFF format type	14
8	Table 6 – Identifying variants using Version Number	14
9	Table 7 – Structure of the ISO/IEC 19794-6:2005 standard	14
10	Table 8 - Structure for the ROI-masked rectilinear variant of ISO/IEC 19794-6	
11	Table 9 – Structure for the proposed unsegmented polar format	
12	Table 10 - Format for Freeman chain code	
13	Table 11 – Sensor identifiers	
14	Table 12 – Preparation of standard rectilinear records	
15	Table 13 – IREX API preparation of standard rectilinear record	
16	Table 14 – Preparation of ROI-masked records	
17	Table 15 – IREX API for creation of ROI-masked records	20
18	Table 16 – Preparation of unsegmented polar records	21
19	Table 17 – IREX API for creation of unsegmented polar records	22
20	Table 18 – IREX API template creation	
21	Table 19 – IREX API template matching	23
22	Table 20 – IREX API get_pids function	<b>2</b> 3

23

24

# Acknowledgements

- 25 The authors are grateful to the following contributors, and other anonymous individuals, without whose input this
- document would not have been concluded.
- 27 A
- 28 B ...

#### 1. IREX Overview

1

8

9

10

25

- 2 The NIST Interoperable Iris Program is being initiated at NIST in support of an expanded marketplace of iris-based
- 3 applications based on standardized interoperable iris imagery. The work is primarily conducted in support of the ISO/IEC
- 4 19794-6 standard, now under revision. It secondarily supports the recently completed ANSI/NIST ITL 1-2007 Type 17
- 5 standard, as derived from the ISO/IEC 19794-6:2005 parent [STD05], and particularly future revision thereof.
- The IREX is distinct from NIST's prior Iris Challenge Evaluations (ICE). Table 1 gives the context for IREX amongst the
- 7 various iris activities at NIST.

#### Table 1 - NIST's iris evaluations

IREX 08	IREX 08 is intended to measure interoperable performance of the two compact formats proposed for the revision of the ISO/IEC 19794-6 standard.
ICE 06	Coordinated by Jonathon Phillips, the Iris Challenge Evaluation 2006 was a comparative assessment of commercial iris verification implementations on a common sequestered test corpus.
ICE 05	Coordinated by Jonathon Phillips, the ICE 05 activity was a cooperative research and development effort centered on the ICE 05 image corpus collected by University of Notre Dame.

# 2. Motivation and background

# 2.1. Toward compact forms

- NIST's motivation in executing IREX 08 is the establishment of a standardized accurate, interoperable and compact iris
- image format suitable for large scale identity management applications.
- 13 While IREX 08 is structured only as an application-independent assessment of the core algorithmic performance of the
- segmentation and recognition components, NIST is particularly interested in establishing a set of specifications for an iris
- data element suitable for storage on an ISO/IEC 7816 crypto-token<sup>2</sup>, and for efficient transmission across a network.
- 16 Toward similar ends, iris compression studies have been conducted [USNA, CAM07, BATH06, BATH07]. While the studies
- 17 report promising results, they explored only the single-vendor case in which enrolment and verification data are
- 18 processed by a lone supplier's segmentation and matching algorithms. The exception here is [BATH07] which showed
- similar compression sensitivities for two different matching algorithms.
- The ISO/IEC 19794-6 standard was published in 2005 [STD05]. It is almost identical to its precursor, the INCITS 379:2004
- standard published in the United States [1379]. As application-independent standards, neither document establishes
- 22 normative requirements on compression. Instead the ISO standard's clause A.1.6 gives the following informative
- 23 guidance "... a compression factor of 6:1 or less is recommended". This is an order of magnitude smaller than
- compression ratios cited recently [CAM07, BATH06, BATH07].

# 2.2. Support for the ISO standard

- A second key motivation is to support the production of a more robust, interoperable, useful and implementable ISO/IEC
- 27 19794-6 standard. Thus, IREX 08 should be viewed as an unofficial augmentation of the ISO development process. This
- 28 contrasts with the default practice of the SC 37 Working Group 3 and M1.3 committees, which is to not embed
- 29 conformance, performance and interoperability tests as part of the standards' development process. For [STD05] the
- 30 result of this structure was that the ultimate viability of the final standard rested on the considerable expertise of the
- 31 editor and the committee, and on any (unpublished) intra-supplier tests.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For example, the U. S. Government's PIV Card, which currently uses fingerprint minutia. As the de facto leading data element for 7816 identity credentials, single-finger minutia templates, encoded as INCITS 378:2004 records, contain 38 minutiae (the MINEX 04 median value [MINEX]) and occupy 260 bytes including header information. ISO/IEC 19794-2:2005 compact card templates are less than half the size yet offer similar accuracy [MOC].

- The ISO standard was adopted by at least the Registered Traveler (RT) program<sup>3</sup> in the United States which provided for 1
- compact iris imagery on a ISO/IEC 7816 smartcard. This was achieved by specifying a no-inner-boundary polar format to 2
- 3 mitigate possible interoperability problems with the full polar format. Such problems have recently been asserted by
- 4 noting that the interoperability of the polar format is critically sensitive to the consistency of the segmentation [N2059,
- 5 N2124], and subject to sampling problems [PROC]. The solution advocated in the German proposal to SC 37 [N2059] is
- 6 complete removal of the polar format, and the revised text of the standard [N2226] identifies which text is to be removed.
- 7 A UK contribution [N2124] also advocates removal, and suggests the polar format's size can be achieved via cropping and
- 8 compression of the rectilinear format. The UK contribution [CAM07] indicates that compressed sizes of about 2KB are
- 9 achievable. The proposal to remove was opposed by US [DHS, NIST, LG] with observations that the polar format can be
- 10 interoperable (viz. RT), that removal would undermine standards adoption, and that some testing is needed. This was
- 11 defeated by 6-5 vote, and an updated polar-free draft standard is imminent.
- 12 Two alternative compact forms have been advanced: A ROI-masked version [CAM07] and a new polar variant termed the
- unsegmented polar version [IRI07]. The IREX 08 test is therefore being conducted as an independent assessment of 13
- 14 interoperability and accuracy of these proposed compact forms. This is more formally stated below.

#### 3. Scope

- IREX 08 is intended to support ISO/IEC 19794-6 by requiring participants to produce conformant instances of the 16
- 17 rectilinear format, and by evaluating the proposed new formats that have been contributed to the ISO/IEC 19794-6
- revision project. 18

15

- 19 Specifically the test aims to
- quantify the performance and interoperability of 20
- 21 rectilinear images,
- 22 the UK-proposed ROI masked rectilinear images [CAM07],
  - the US-proposed unsegmented polar images [IRI07].
- 24 measure the effect of JPEG and JPEG 2000 compression on accuracy,
- 25 quantify the performance and interoperability of iris segmentation algorithms
- 26 time the various operations,
- 27 formulate record structures and other content toward the revision of ISO/IEC 19794-6, and
- 28 check that suppliers can produce records conformant to the ISO/IEC 19794-6 standard.
- 29 The primary outputs of the test will be statements of performance including
- 30 measurements of failure-to-segment rates for various compression levels
- 31 measurements of false non-match and false match error rates for various compression levels and operating
- 32 thresholds,
- 33 time taken to prepare the various standard instances,
- 34 time taken to extract features from the various standard instances, and
- 35 time taken to match feature-based templates.
- 36 In so doing, the IREX evaluation requires
- 37 conversion of raw raster images into ISO/IEC 19794-6 rectilinear images,
- 38 conversion of ISO/IEC 19794-6 rectilinear images into ROI-masked rectilinear images, and
- 39 conversion of ISO/IEC 19794-6 rectilinear images into unsegmented polar images.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See http://www.rtconso<u>rtium.org/\_docpost/RTICTIGSpec\_v1.2.pdf</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Clause 6.3.2.3 of ISO/IEC 19794-6:2005 allows the pupil center to be used as the inner boundary, i.e. the pupil-iris boundary is not detected and the pupil radius is set to zero.

- 1 The following are specifically not within the current scope of this evaluation:
- predictions of operational performance,
- 3 sensor usability or security evaluation (this study will be conducted with offline imagery),
- 4 off-angle imagery (other than that incidentally present in the test corpora),
- 5 conformance to INCITS 379:2004, and
- 6 identification performance.

# 4. Normative References

- 8 The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only
- 9 the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any
- 10 amendments) applies.

7

- 11 ISO/IEC 19794-6:2005 Information technology Biometric data interchange formats Part 6: Iris image data
- 12 ISO/IEC FDIS 19795-4 Biometric Performance Testing and Reporting Part 4: Interoperability Performance
   13 Testing.
- 14 The following two documents describe compact representations:
- 15 [CAM07] UK Contribution *Effect of severe image compression on iris recognition performance*.
- 16 [IRI07] US Contribution *Compact Iris Format*.
- 17 See the References in section 9 for full citations and URLs.
- The syntactic representations are specified in sections 7.4 and 7.5.

19

25

28

- The values of the 5x7 kernel identified in the [CAM07] have not been published. NIST requests these to be contributed for the next IREX draft and that they become normative for all IREX participants making ROI-masked images. Further,
- NIST thinks the kernel values would be normatively written into the revised ISO/IEC 19795-6 standard, if ROI-masking is
- adopted therein. In addition, a mechanism for scaling the kernel for higher resolution images should be established.

Q3 Is the [CAM07] document a sufficiently complete specification for implementation in IREX? Or does this IREX document need to refine the ROI-masking specification in any other ways?

Q6 Is the [IRI07] document a sufficiently complete specification for implementation in IREX? Or does this IREX document need to refine the unsegmented polar specification in any other ways?

If the final IREX specification is acceptable and successful, NIST would contribute definitive text and tables to the ISO/IEC
 19794-6 revision process.

#### 5. Abbreviations

29 The abbreviations and acronyms of Table 2 are used in many parts of this document.

30 Table 2 – Abbreviations

DET	Detection error tradeoff characteristic – a plot of FNMR vs. FMR (sometimes as normal deviates, sometimes on log-scales)	
FMR	False match rate	
FNMR	False non-match rate	
INCITS	InterNational Committee on Information Technology Standards	
ISO/IEC 19794	C 19794 Multipart standard of "Biometric data interchange formats"	
1379	INCITS 379:2004	

# 1 2 3

4

5

6

## Table 3 - IREX 08 image variants

		_		
	Rectilinear	ROI-masked Rectilinear	Unsegmented Polar	
Standards compliance	ISO/IEC 19794-6 rectilinear format Clause 6.3.1	Proposed content for ISO/IEC 19794-6:200X, X > 7.	Proposed content for ISO/IEC 19794-6:200X, X > 7.	
See	ISO/IEC 19794-6:2005	[CAM07]	[IRI07]	
Required Segmentation	None	Eyelids, and iris sclera boundaries. Quoting [CAM07]:	Concentric inner and outer circles, neither of which is necessarily centered on pupil or iris center.	
Stored image				
Use of stored image	and feature extraction seems necess		ne rectilinear cases further segmentation feature extraction is done directly, or, as gmentation is applied before feature	
Encoding	Table 7	Table 8 Table 9		
API	Sections 8.2.3 and 8.2.4	Section 8.2.5 Section 8.2.6		

# 6. Aspects of the test

### 6.1. Fundamental concept of the test

- 7 The IREX 08 is an interoperability test and is structured around four core operations:
- 8 In stage 1, functions within the supplier's SDK are called to convert archival rectilinear raster images to Rectilinear images
- 9 conformant to ISO/IEC 19794-6:2005.
- 10 In stage 2, functions within the suppliers SDK are called to convert the standard rectilinear instances into the compact
- 11 forms of Table 3, specifically
- 12 ROI-masked rectilinear images conformant to the specifications herein.
- 13 Unsegmented polar images conformant to the specifications herein.
- 14 In stage 3, functions within a supplier's SDK are called to convert these processed images into an opaque proprietary
- 15 template. This will potentially require reconstruction, re-segmentation, and feature extraction.

- 1 In stage 4, functions within a supplier's SDK are called to compare two proprietary templates and produce a distance
- 2 measure.

### 3 6.2. Segmentation on uncompressed data

- 4 All unsegmented polar and ROI-masked images will be prepared from uncompressed input data. This mimics the scenario
- 5 in which the image processing steps need to instantiate the standard records are conducted at time of enrollment either
- 6 in the sensor, or on a local computer, before transmission or commitment to an identity credential.

# 7 6.3. NIST invocation of compressors and de-compressors

- 8 SDK functions that generate ROI-masked and unsegmented polar images shall not apply compression. NIST will call
- 9 compression routines, and will survey over compression parameters, primarily the bit rate / compression ratio.
- 10 NIST will not pass JPEG or JPEG 2000 encoded data to the SDK functions. Instead, any compression will be followed by
- decompression before passing the record to the SDK. This avoids the need for the SDK to call decompression routines.

#### 12 6.4. Feature extraction on compressed data

- 13 For both a ROI-masked or unsegmented polar, image the SDK template generation function will likely need to embed
- 14 (re)segmentation and template generation operations. These will usually be performed on previously compressed data.

# 15 **6.5.** Interoperability space

- 16 There are two targeted interoperability scenarios:
- 17 A one-to-one verification application in which compressed enrollment imagery is retrieved for storage to be
- compared with an uncompressed and unsegmented verification sample. NIST will mimic this operation in an offline evaluation by comparing enrollment instances in the various compressed formats against unprocessed and
- 20 uncompressed verification images.
- A server-centric verification application in which a compressed image is transmitted to a server for enrollment, and
   later compared with a compressed image submitted for verification. Thus both images are compressed and it is
- assumed that the code to produce the compact form resides in or near to the sensor, and not on the server.

# 24 6.6. Offline evaluation using archival imagery

- 25 NIST intends to execute the test in an entirely offline fashion and to use images from the sequestered ICE 06 corpus of LG
- 26 2200 images, and a larger corpus collected using the LG 3000. NIST is likely to extend this data arbitrarily to include other
- 27 sources. IREX 2008 is unlikely to embed a dedicated scenario test or data collection.
- NIST will identify the specific cameras in all published reports.

#### 6.7. Exclusion of bad images

- NIST does not intend to include a strict repetition of the ICE 06 trial<sup>5</sup> in IREX 08. However, IREX 08 will include ICE data but
- 31 also other datasets in addition. Given the IREX 08 goals to measure effects of compression and to evaluate compact
- 32 formats (see scope section 3), NIST will:
- attempt to exclude images from the ICE and other databases which would contribute to false rejection whatever
   compression or compact representation is selected (e.g. eyes closed, or iris partially or fully absent)
- document this practice in all reports, report the number of such exclusions, and include text on the effect of
   regarding these exclusions as FTE and FTA.
- add caveats to published reports that the performance values are specifically not predictive of any deployment in
   which imaging is dissimilar to that reflected by the databases used.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The ICE homepage is <a href="http://iris.nist.gov/ice">http://iris.nist.gov/ice</a>

# 1 6.8. Measure sufficiency

- 2 NIST will compute and report performance on full-size uncompressed rectilinear images. This establishes a baseline
- 3 against which error rates for any given compression ratio and compact format, can be compared.

#### 4 6.9. Uniform software interface

5 We will evaluate algorithms by requiring them to be implemented behind the "C" API of section 0.

#### 6 **6.10.** Audience and options for participation

- 7 Universities and commercial concerns with capabilities in following areas are invited to participate in the IREX 08 test.
- 8 Production of conformant ISO/IEC 19794-6 records
- 9 Segmentation of iris imagery, including production of polar formats
- 10 Verification of standardized image records.
- 11 Prospective participants should read this document then complete the application form, Annex A. Participants must
- 12 submit an SDK that provides all of the components identified in one of the rows of Table 4. All components in a row shall
- 13 be supplied.

#### 14 Table 4 – IREX classes of participation

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Class of Participation	Annex A Participation agreement	Ability to convert a raw raster into a conformant instance of the ISO/IEC 19794- 6:2005 rectilinear image format	conformant instances of the	masked rectilinear image	Ability to extract features from a [CAM07] image and match.	image into an	Ability to extract features from an [IRI07] polar image and match
Class A	+	+		+			
Class B	+	+	+	+	+		
Class C	+	+				+	
Class D	+	+	+			+	+

- 15 The inclusion of these classes is motivated as follows:
- Classes A+B exist to allow organizations to demonstrate the capability to generate and optionally match ROI-masked
   rectilinear images.
  - Classes C+D exist to allow organizations to demonstrate the capability to generate and optionally match unsegmented polar images.
- 20 Note

18 19

24

26

- For those providing matchers, i.e. classes B or D, then a matcher of rectilinear images also must be provided (i.e.
   column 4).
- 23 A participant may enter SDKs for more than one class (e.g. B and D) except
  - + Those providing B would not provide A.
- 25 + Those providing D would not provide C.

#### 6.11. Number of submissions

- 27 Organizations may enter two submissions per class. This would allow, for example, "fast vs. slow", or "experimental vs.
- 28 mature" implementations to be tested.

## 1 6.12. Compression metrics

- 2 JPEG implementations accept a quality parameter that controls the quantization of the DCT coefficients specified in
- 3 ISO/IEC 10918. The quantitative effect of this parameter is not standardized, and may vary between implementations.
- 4 ISO/IEC 15444 JPEG 2000 implementations are parameterized by a target value, such as the number of bits per pixel.
- 5 Some input images are more compressible than others. For example, a motion-blurred image will compress well, as will
- 6 images with large areas of smooth skin content.
- 7 NIST intends to establish operational guidance on the application of compression. NIST intends to quantify compression
- 8 damage in terms of, at least, PSNR as the independent variable. However, compression software does not take this an
- 9 input parameter and thus practical implementation would require iterative schemes to achieve a desired entropy or PSNR
- 10 value. This may be tenable if computational expense is not prohibitive. NIST intends to measure and report compression
- 11 times.

#### 12 6.13. Provision of sensor information to SDKs

- 13 NIST will provide the manufacturer and model information to the image processing functions provided in the SDK. This
- 14 allows the implementation to tailor its algorithms to known properties of the sensor (e.g. spectral properties of the
- 15 illuminant).

18

29

- 16 NIST is not currently in possession of detailed sensor specifications, and it is therefore incumbent on participants to
- acquire such information and to use it as they see fit.

# 6.14. Verification performance

- 19 The test will embed pure 1:1 template comparisons. It will not enroll a population and thus will not support
- 20 verification systems that run in an identification mode,
- 21 cohort normalization techniques<sup>6</sup>, and
- 22 1:N testing, which is not needed to study the format and compression effects targeted by this study.

#### 23 **6.15.** Phased testing

- In an attempt to support SC37 timelines, NIST will depart from its usual practice (e.g. MINEX II, ELFT I) and conduct this
- 25 test in just a single phase. This means the results of testing will be published sometime after the implementations are
- 26 received, without any interim disclosure of results to the supplier, and without any resubmission of SDKs to NIST. We will
- 27 nevertheless communicate aberrant behavior to suppliers for example, if generated records are non-conformant to the
- 28 ISO standard, or if the SDK is not conformant to our API.

#### 6.16. Open-source code for ISO/IEC 19794-6

- 30 As part of NIST's Biometric Data Interchange (BIOMDI) software distribution7, NIST has exposed its open-source "C" code
- 31 project for the reading, writing and validation of ISO/IEC 19794-6 records. The software is freely distributable. It is under
- 32 formal version control and subscribers to the server are automatically informed of development activities. This code will
- be changed as the record structures of Table 8 and Table 9 are solidified.
- 34 NIST will develop code to execute forward and reverse polar transforms. This code will produce will be in C/C++ and will
- 35 execute either bilinear or bicubic interpolation. NIST will evaluate both variants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Such normalization methods may still be implemented within the matcher, by, for example, storing a supplier-owned internal background set of templates into the SDK.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The BIOMDI repository currently includes code for handling standardized iris, face, finger and minutiae records. Instructions for access are described here: <a href="http://www.itl.nist.gov/iad/894.03/nigos/biomdi.html">http://www.itl.nist.gov/iad/894.03/nigos/biomdi.html</a>

#### 1 6.17. Standards-based evaluation

- 2 The IREX evaluation is an interoperability test. As such, NIST intends to conduct it in conformance to the ISO/IEC FDIS
- 3 19795-4 Biometric Performance Testing and Reporting Part 4: Interoperability Performance Testing standard. This
- 4 standard establishes requirements for the execution of multi-supplier biometric interoperability tests, primarily those
- 5 evaluating standardized interchange formats such as ISO/IEC 19794-x.

#### 7. Data structures

#### 7.1. Overview

- 8 Much of IREX 08 will involve the generation or use of the data structures defined in the following two subsections. The
- 9 first is the ISO/IEC 19794-6:2005 record. The second is the proposed unsegmented polar augmentation of that record. In
- both cases, the values in certain fields have been constrained to specific values. For example, the number of images is
- always 1, and all image data is in uncompressed form.

# 7.2. Identifying the records of the standard

- 13 The ISO/IEC 19794-6 standard [STD05] required all iris biometric data blocks (BDBs) to be wrapped in CBEFF headers.
- 14 CBEFF, published as ISO/IEC 19785-1, advances abstract fields and values for encapsulating, signing and encrypting BDBs.
- 15 For IREX 08, CBEFF headers shall be absent.
- 16 In ISO/IEC 19794-6:2005, the only means of differentiating rectilinear records from polar records is via the CBEFF format
- 17 type, as shown in Table 5. However, CBEFF encapsulation is now optional in the draft revision [N2226] and there is no
- 18 normative mechanism for determining the encoding. This has been handled as part of the revision process.

### Table 5 – Identifying variants via CBEFF format type

Geometric Encoding	CBEFF_BDB_format_type
Rectilinear	0x0009
Polar	0x000B

20 21

22

23

19

6

7

12

For IREX 08, in order to differentiate the various forms, NIST will deviate from the standard and require the Version

Number field to be populated and respected as per Table 6.

#### Table 6 - Identifying variants using Version Number

Geometric Encoding	Version Number	
All encodings in ISO/IEC 19794-6:2005	'nnn'	The 2005 standard was published with the undefined entry 'nnn'. This differs from all other parts 19794-x which stated definitive hex values.
All encodings in ISO/IEC 19794-6:200X, X > 7	0x30333000	'030\0' - the draft revision [N2226] value.
Rectilinear	0x30313000	'010\0'
Proposed ROI-masked rectilinear	0x30313100	'011\0'
Proposed unsegmented Polar	0x30313200	'012\0'

24

25

28

# 7.3. Rectilinear image record structure

Rectilinear image generators shall produce records conformant to Table 7. This is identically the structure of the ISO/IEC 19794-6:2005 standard.

#### Table 7 - Structure of the ISO/IEC 19794-6:2005 standard

	Section title	and/or field name	L	IREX actual or required values	Remarks
	Iris Record Header (Table 2 of ISO/IEC 1979			005)	
1.	Format Identifier		4 B	0x49495200	i.e. ASCII "IIR\0"
2.	Version Number		4 B	0x30313000	See Table 6
3.	Record Lengt	th	4 B	$45 \le L \le 2^{32} - 1$	Total length
4.	Capture Devi	ice ID	2 B		Value will be provided to SDK. Value will be one of those in Table 11.
5.	Number of e	yes imaged	1 B	1	
6.	Record head	er length	2 B	45	
7.	Iris image	Horz. orientation	2 b	ORIENTATION_BASE	
8.	properties	Vert. orientation	2 b	ORIENTATION_BASE	
9.		Scan type	2 b	SCAN_TYPE_PROGRESSIVE, SCAN_TYPE_INTERLACE_FRAME	Rectilinear format only
10.		Occlusions	1 b	0	Polar format only
11.		Occlusion filling	1 b	0	Polar format only
12.		Boundary extraction	1 b	0	Polar format only
13.	Iris diameter	(rect)	2 B	> 0	Rectilinear format only
14.	Image forma	t	2 B	IMAGEFORMAT_MONO_RAW	IREX SDKs are neither permitted nor required to compress or decompress image data. Thus this field shall not be IMAGEFORMAT_MONO_JPEG, or IMAGEFORMAT_MONO_JPEG2000.
15.	Raw image w	vidth	2 B	> 0	
16.	Raw image h	eight	2 B	> 0	
17.	Intensity dep	oth	1 B	8	
18.	Image transformation (polar only)		1 B	1	TRANS_STD = 1 i.e. linear radial interpolation per [STD05, 6.5.4].
19.	Device uniqu	ie identifier	16 B	'0000000000000000'	16 character zeroes '0' = 0x30, not '\0' = 0x00
	Iris Biometrio	Subtype Header (Table 3 o	of ISO/IEC	19794-6:2005)	
20.	Eye		1 B	EYE_RIGHT, EYE_LEFT	
21.	Number of ir	is images of this eye	2 B	1	
	Iris Image He	eader (Table 4 of ISO/IEC 19	794-6:20	05)	
22.	Image numb	er	2 B	1	This field is an index starting at 1
23.	Quality		1 B	0	
24.			2 B	ROT_ANGLE_UNDEF > 0	For rectilinear For polar unless next line is set non-zero
25.	Rotation und	ertainty	2 B	ROT_UNCERTAIN_UNDEF	For rectilinear and polar when rotation is not estimated.  For polar when rotation is estimated.
26.	Image length	1	4 B	LEN	. s. polar when rotation is estimated.
	. Image data		LEN		

## 1

2

6

### 7.4. ROI-masked record structure

- 3 ROI-masked image generators shall produce records conformant to Table 8. The record is identical to that of the generic
- 4 rectilinear format, but with additional fields, in yellow, for the ROI masked regions. If adopted here, and used
- successfully, the records defined herein would form the basis of NIST contributions toward revision of ISO/IEC 19794-6.

## Table 8 - Structure for the ROI-masked rectilinear variant of ISO/IEC 19794-6

	Section title and/or field name	L	IREX actual or required values	Remarks
	Iris Record Header (Table 2 of ISO/IEC 1979	4-6:20	1005)	
1.	Format Identifier	4 B	0x49495200	i.e. ASCII "IIR\0"
2.	Version Number	4 B	0x30313100	See Table 6
3.	Record Length	4 B	$45 \le L \le 2^{32} - 1$	Total length

4.	Capture Dev	ice ID	2 B	0	Value will be provided to SDK. Value will be one of those in Table 11.
5.	Number of eyes imaged		1 B	1	
6.	Record header length		2 B	45	
7.	Iris image	Horz. orientation	2 b	ORIENTATION_BASE	
8.	properties	Vert. orientation	2 b	ORIENTATION BASE	
9.		Scan type	2 b	SCAN_TYPE_PROGRESSIVE, SCAN_TYPE_INTERLACE_FRAME	Rectilinear format only
10.		Occlusions	1 b	0	Polar format only
11.		Occlusion filling	1 b	0	Polar format only
12.		Boundary extraction	1 b	0	Polar format only
13.	Iris diameter	(rect)	2 B	> 0	Rectilinear format only
14.	Image format		2 B	IMAGEFORMAT_MONO_RAW	IREX SDKs are neither permitted nor required to compress or decompress image data. Thus this field shall not be IMAGEFORMAT_MONO_JPEG, or IMAGEFORMAT_MONO_JPEG2000.
15.	Raw image v	vidth	2 B	> 0	
16.	Raw image h		2 B	> 0	
17.	Intensity dep	oth	1 B	8	
18.	Image transf	ormation (polar only)	1 B	1	TRANS_STD = 1 i.e. linear radial interpolation per [STD05, 6.5.4].
19.	Device uniqu	ie identifier	16 B	'0000000000000000'	16 character zeroes '0' = 0x30, not '\0' = 0x00
	Iris Biometri	c Subtype Header (Table 3 o	of ISO/IEC	19794-6:2005)	
20.	Eye		1 B	EYE_RIGHT, EYE_LEFT	
21.	Number of in	ris images of this eye	2 B	1	
	Iris Image He	eader (Table 4 of ISO/IEC 19	794-6:20	)5)	
22.	Image numb	er	2 B	1	This field is an index starting at 1
23.	Quality		1 B	0 ≤ Q ≤ 100	This field to be populated with value.
24.	Rotation ang	le of eye	2 B	ROT_ANGLE_UNDEF > 0	For rectilinear For polar unless next line is set non-zero
25.	Rotation und	certainty	2 B	ROT_UNCERTAIN_UNDEF	For rectilinear and polar when rotation is not estimated. For polar when rotation is estimated.
26.	Mask value f	or upper eyelid	1B		If depth was > 8 bits these should be >8 bits too.
27.		or lower eyelid	1B		For IREX 08, everything is 8 bits.
28.	Mask value f	<u> </u>	1B		
29.		ormation length	2 B	LEN	See section 7.6.
30.	Auxiliary info		LEN		
31.	Image length		4 B	LEN	
32.	Image data		LEN		

1

4

8

# Q9 Are three grey-level values needed (i.e. lines 26, 27 and 28). Or two?

- 2 This is identically the structure of the ISO/IEC 19794-6:2005 standard. Note that the standard treats rotation estimates
- 3 and uncertainties differently for rectilinear and polar instances, and thus appropriate values shall be assigned.

# 7.5. Unsegmented Polar image record structure

- 5 The following structure is advanced as an implementation of the proposed unsegmented polar addition to the ISO
- 6 standard [IRI07]. Yellow shading indicates new fields. If adopted here, and used successfully, the records defined herein
- 7 would form the basis of NIST contributions toward revision of ISO/IEC 19794-6.

#### Table 9 – Structure for the proposed unsegmented polar format

Section title and/or field name	L	IREX actual or required values	Remarks

	Iris Record He	eader (Table 2 of ISO/IEC 1979	4-6:20	005)	
1.	Format Ident	ifier	4 B	0x49495200	i.e. ASCII "IIR\0"
2.	Version Num	ber	4 B	0x30313200	i.e. ASCII "013\0". This value is non-standard, and instituted by NIST to differentiate it from the properly standardized record in Table 7.
3.	Record Lengt	h	4 B	$57 \le L \le 2^{32} - 1$	Total length
4.	Capture Devi	ce ID	2 B	0	Value will be provided to SDK. Value will be one of those in Table 11.
5.	Number of ey	es imaged	1 B	1	
6.	Record heade	er length	2 B	45	
7.	Iris image	Horz. Orientation	2 b	ORIENTATION_BASE	
8.	properties	Vert. orientation	2 b	ORIENTATION_BASE	
9.		Scan type	2 b	SCAN_TYPE_PROGRESSIVE, SCAN_TYPE_INTERLACE_FRAME	Rectilinear format only
10.		Occlusions	1 b		Polar format only
11.		Occlusion filling	1 b		Polar format only
12.		Boundary extraction	1 b		Polar format only
13.	Iris diameter	(rect)	2 B		Rectilinear format only
14.	Image format	i	2 B	IMAGEFORMAT_MONO_RAW	IREX SDKs are neither permitted nor required to compress or decompress image data. Thus this field shall not be IMAGEFORMAT_MONO_JPEG, or IMAGEFORMAT_MONO_JPEG2000.
15.	Raw image w	idth	2 B	> 0	
16.	Raw image he		2 B	> 0	
17.	Intensity dep	th	1 B	8	
18.	Image transfo	ormation (polar only)	1 B	1	TRANS_STD = 1 i.e. linear radial interpolation per [STD05, 6.5.4].
19.	Device unique	e identifier	16 B	'0000000000000000'	16 character zeroes '0' = 0x30, not '\0' = 0x00
	Iris Biometric	Subtype Header (Table 3 of IS	O/IEC	19794-6:2005)	
20.	Eye		1 B	EYE_RIGHT, EYE_LEFT	
21.		s images of this eye	2 B	1	
		ader (Table 4 of ISO/IEC 19794		05)	
22.	Image numbe	er	2 B	1	This field is an index starting at 1
23.	Quality		1 B	0 ≤ Q ≤ 100	This field to be populated with value.
24.	Rotation angl		2 B	ROT_ANGLE_UNDEF	Values will not be provided to SDK
25.	Rotation unce	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2 B	ROT_UNCERTAIN_UNDEF	
26.	X coordinate	of inner + outer circle centers	2 B	0 ≤ x < W	Coordinate system is zero oriented with (0,0) at
27.		of inner + outer circle centers	2 B	0 ≤ y < H	the top left corner.
28.	Inner circle ra	adius	2 B	0 ≤ r	The inner and outer circle centers are concentric
29.	Outer circle r	adius	2 B	0 ≤ r	The liner and outer circle centers are concentric
30.		rmation length	2 B	LEN	See section 7.6.
31.	Auxiliary info		LEN		
32.		of the center of the ellipse	2 B		
33.		g the pupil boundary of the center of the ellipse			
55.		g the pupil boundary	2 B		
34.	X coordinate	of the intersection point of or axis with the ellipse	2 B		
	approximatin	g the pupil			
35.		of the intersection point of or axis with the ellipse	2 B		
36.	X coordinate	of the intersection point of or axis with the ellipse	2 B		

-	Y coordinate of the intersection point of the semi-minor axis with the ellipse approximating the pupil	2 B		
38.	X coordinate of the center of the ellipse approximating the iris boundary	2 B		
39.	Y coordinate of the center of the ellipse approximating the iris boundary	2 B		
	X coordinate of the intersection point of the semi-major axis with the ellipse approximating the iris	2 B		
	Y coordinate of the intersection point of the semi-major axis with the ellipse approximating the iris	2 B		
42.	X coordinate of the intersection point of the semi-minor axis with the ellipse approximating the iris	2 B		
43.	Y coordinate of the intersection point of the semi-minor axis with the ellipse approximating the iris	2 B		
44.	Image length	4 B	LEN	
45.	Unsegmented polar-transformed image data	LEN		

# 1 7.6. Auxiliary Data

- 2 Regarding the Auxiliary Data block on Lines 30-31 of Table 9, and Lines 29-30 of Table 8, NIST does not intend to allow
- 3 purely vendor-defined data (e.g. a proprietary template) to be placed in such a block because it is non-interoperable<sup>8</sup>.
- 4 NIST instead proposes to standardize the contents of this block in two parts, as follows.
- 5 Eight-connected Freeman chain code (FCC) of the closed-path inner boundary.
- 6 Eight-connected Freeman chain code (FCC) of the closed-path outer boundary.
- Eight-connected FCCs allow encoding of an arbitrary path in 3 bits per pixel. For an iris of radius 100 pixels, and a pupil of radius 40 pixels, such encoding would require, with a small header, around 220 and 90 bytes respectively.

Table 10 - Format for Freeman chain code

#	Field	Length
1	X-coordinate of first pixel in closed path	2B
2	Y-coordinate of first pixel in closed path	2B
3	Number of elements in chain code	N
4	Bit-packed Freeman chain code, zero padded to nearest octet if (3N % 8 != 0)	≤ 3N/8 + 1

Do you have alternatives or additions to FCC? These should be precisely documented, non-proprietary, and available to be specified in this document.

Do you want Freeman chain codes? (If yes, ellipse info lines 32-43 would be deleted). If not, why not?

Do you want a vendor-defined auxiliary data block?

11

10

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The issue of allowing Extended Data has been discussed with respect to other biometric standards and has been deprecated because it is only valuable when the producer and consumer of the data are manufactured by the same company.

# 1 8. PC-based API specification

#### 2 8.1. Overview

- 3 This section describes the IREX API. All SDK's submitted to IREX 08 shall implement the functions below here as required
- 4 by the classes of participation listed in Table 4.

#### 5 **8.2.** Testing interface

#### 6 **8.2.1.** Requirement

7 IREX participants shall submit an SDK which presents the "C" prototyped interface given in the following subsections.

#### 8 8.2.2. Sensor identifiers

9 The following sensors will be identified to the SDK using the two byte unsigned integer values in Table 11.

10

#### Table 11 - Sensor identifiers

#	Sensor Manufacturer and Model	Identifier
1	LG 2200	0x2A16
2	LG 3000	0x2A1E
3	Unknown	0x0000

- 11 Presence on this table indicates NIST's intention to use images captured by these devices. NIST may add to this table in
- due course. Also, please see NIST's call for images on Page 2.

#### 13 8.2.3. Geometric or other alterations to images

- 14 In some cases poor images will need to be corrected. For example, the following action is described in Section 2 of
- 15 [CAM07].

For those images in which the iris was partly outside of the original image frame, the missing pixels were replaced with black ones. For those in which the algorithms detected that the gaze was directed away from the camera, as gauged by projective deformation of the eye shape, a corrective affine transformation was automatically applied which effectively "rotated" the eye in its socket back into orthographic perspective on-axis with the camera.

- 16 Such steps are allowed and are likely to allow downstream feature extractors and matchers to give better performance.
- 17 NIST takes no position on whether these or other operations (e.g histogram equalization) should be applied.
- 18 Any such processing shall be conducted during the preparation of the ROI-masked rectilinear instance of section 8.2.5, or
- 19 the unsegmented polar instance of section 8.2.6. It shall not be performed in the preparation of the rectilinear instance
- 20 of section 8.2.4.

## 21 8.2.4. Conversion of raw imagery to standard rectilinear image

- 22 To support the standard, all submissions to IREX 08 shall implement a function to execute the packaging operation of
- Table 12. While this action is merely syntactic, prior interoperability tests conducted by NIST have revealed a not
- 24 uncommon inability to reliably instantiate conformant records.
- 25 The output instances are used as inputs to subsequent functions (polar processing, or feature extraction). NIST will apply
- 26 compression-decompression to the image data contained in these records.

#### Table 12 – Preparation of standard rectilinear records

Input	Action	Output
Raw raster, dimensions, and	Package the input into a	Conformant ISO/IEC 19794-6
parameters needed to instantiate	conformant record.	rectilinear record. These records

the standardized record	Do not alter the image data.	will be checked for conformance.
the standardized record	po not after the image data.	will be checked for comormance.

1 The function shall be implemented with the API call specified in Table 13.

### Table 13 - IREX API preparation of standard rectilinear record

Prototype	INT32 convert_raster_to_rectilinear(					
	BYTE *uncompressed_raster_data	1,				
	const UINT16 image_width,					
	const UINT16 image_height,					
	const BYTE horz_orientation,					
	const BYTE vert_orientation,					
	const BYTE scan_type,					
	const BYTE image_format,					
	const BYTE intensity_depth,	co. id				
	const UINT16 nist_encoded_device_id, BYTE *quality					
	BYTE * ISO_19794_6_rectlinear_image);					
Description						
Input	uncompressed_raster_data	The uncompressed raw image used for template creation.				
Parameters	image_width	The number of pixels indicating the width of the image.				
	image_height	The number of pixels indicating the height of the image.				
	horz_orientation	NIST anticipates setting these values to ORIENTATION_BASE, per [STD05, 6.5.4].				
	vert_orientation					
	scan_type	Progressive or interlaced. Values per the standard.				
	image_format	NIST anticipates using only unprocessed uncompressed 8 bit grayscale data, so the				
	intensity_depth	image format will be 0x0002, and the intensity depth will be 8, both per [STD05].				
	nist_encoded_device_id	A two byte unsigned integer value from Table 11				
Output Parameters	Quality	A [0,100] quality value representing				
	ISO_19794_6_rectlinear_image	The output rectilinear image, per Table 7				
Return Value	0	Success				
	Other	Vendor-defined				

#### 8.2.5. Conversion of rectilinear to ROI-masked rectilinear

- To assess viability of the standard's polar format, participating submissions to IREX 08 shall execute the conversion operation of Table 14. In addition to the ROI-masked output image, the function shall return center coordinates. This:
- 7 supports measurements of the pixel-level displacement between segmentation algorithms, and
- 8 allows NIST to run execute JPEG cropping as in section 2 of [CAM07]
- 9 allows NIST to run JPEG 2000 ROI tests (as has been considered for ISO/IEC 19794-5 Token face images)

Table 14 - Preparation of ROI-masked records

Input	Action	Output
Conformant ISO/IEC	,	ROI-masked record, per Table 7.
19794-6 rectilinear record.	mask those regions with vendor fixed values.	Radius and center coordinates of
	Perform corrective geometry actions (sec XX).	

11 The function shall be implemented with the API call specified in Table 15.

#### Table 15 - IREX API for creation of ROI-masked records

2

3 4

10

Prototype	INT32 convert_rectilinear_to_ROI_masked_rectilinear( const BYTE *ISO_19794_6_rectlinear_image, UINT16 *x_iris_center, UINT16 *y_iris_center, UINT16 *iris_radius BYTE * roi_masked_rectilinear_image);		
Description	This function takes a conformant ISO/IEC 19794-6 rectilinear image and outputs the corresponding ROI-masked image. The coordinates of the pupil and iris centers are returned also.  The memory for the output structure is allocated before the call i.e. the implementation shall not allocate memory for the result. The function returns either success (0) or failure (non-zero). Failure indicates a failure to convert the image. The result will nevertheless be a conformant instance with zero irides and zero images.		
Input Parameters	ISO_19794_6_rectlinear_image	The uncompressed raw image used for template creation.	
Output Parameters	x_iris_center y_iris_center	Horizontal and vertical locations of the iris center.	
	iris_radius	Radius of a circle containing the entire iris.	
	roi_masked_rectilinear_image	The output polar image, per Table 8.	
Return Value	0	Success	
	1	Involuntary failure to produce an output record - e.g. could not find iris-sclera boundary.	
	2	Elective refusal to not produce an output record - e.g. on quality grounds.	
	3	Cannot parse input data (i.e. assertion that input record is non-conformant)	
	Other	Vendor-defined failure	

1 The number of times a non-zero error codes is returned will be counted, reported and appropriately factored into analyses.

#### 8.2.6. Conversion of rectilinear to unsegmented polar

- 4 To examine the viability of the proposed unsegmented polar format, participating submissions to IREX 08 shall execute
- 5 the conversion of operation of Table 16. The function shall be implemented with the API call specified in Table 17.
- 6 In addition to the raw input record, three additional parameters are passed in.
  - To allow surveys over the radial and circumferential sampling rates the function takes as input number-of-samples arguments.
    - To parameterize a loosening of the segmentation, the function takes an outer radius multiplier, specified as a
      percentage of the supplier's best computation of the circle enclosing all regions of the iris. This is introduced per
      recommendation to "over-segment the iris by 15% of the algorithm-determined iris boundary before converting it to
      Polar format" [LG]. NIST will set the default to be 115, and survey over this parameter.

Q19 Is a NIST survey over a "radius multiplier" worth the trouble? Alternatives could be for IREX to mandatorily fix: a factor (e.g. 115), or a margin (e.g. 8 pixels).

- 14 In addition to the required polar instance output, the function shall return center coordinates. This:
- 15 supports measurements of the pixel-level displacement between segmentation algorithms, and
- 16 allows NIST to run execute JPEG cropping as in section 2 of [CAM07]
- 17 allows NIST to run JPEG 2000 ROI tests (as has been considered for ISO/IEC 19794-5 Token face images)

18 Table 16 – Preparation of unsegmented polar records

Input	Action	Output
-------	--------	--------

3

7

8

9

10

11

Conformant ISO/IEC 19794-6 rectilinear	Find suitable concentric	Proposed unsegmented polar
record, desired circumferential and radial	inner and outer circles.	record, per Table 9.
numbers of samples, and radius	Execute forward polar	
multiplier.	transform.	

# 1 Table 17 – IREX API for creation of unsegmented polar records

Prototype	INT32 convert_rectilinear_to_uns	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	const BYTE *ISO_19794_6_rectlinear_image,				
	const UNIT16 num_samples_radia				
	const UINT16 num_samples_circur const UINT16 outer radius multip				
	BYTE * unseg polar image);				
Description	This function takes a conformant ISO/IEC 19794-6 rectilinear image and outputs the corresponding unsegmented polar image.				
	The memory for the template is allocated before the call i.e. the implementation shall not allocate memory for the result. The function returns either success (0) or failure (non-zero). Failure indicates a failure to convert the image. The result will nevertheless be a conformant instance with zero irides and zero images.				
Input	ISO_19794_6_rectlinear_image	The uncompressed raw image as prepared by the function in Table 13.			
Parameters	num_samples_radially	The number of sample along a spoke. The output polar data shall have this height.			
	num_samples_circumferentially	The number of "spokes" around the iris. The output polar data shall have this width.			
	outer_radius_multiplier	NIST proposes to set the default value to 115 indicating the SDK should add 15% to its computation of the minimal outer circle.			
Output Parameters	unseg_polar_image	_image The output template, per Table 9.			
Return Value	0	Success			
	1	Involuntary failure to extract features (e.g. could not find iris in the input-image)			
	2	Elective refusal to produce a template (e.g. insufficient iris area)			
	3	Cannot parse input data (i.e. assertion that input record is non-conformant)			
	Other	Vendor-defined failure.			

The number of times a non-zero error codes is returned will be counted, reported and appropriately factored into analyses.

# 8.2.7. Template creation

- 6 This function converts any of the input data structures to an opaque proprietary template. The function will need to look
- 7 at the header of the input record to determine the content. This routine will need to heed the hexadecimal "Version
- 8 Number" values in the Table 7 and Table 9 records.

# Table 18 – IREX API template creation

Prototype	INT32 convert_image _to_template(
	const BYTE *input_record,
	UINT16 *template_size,
	BYTE *proprietary_template);
Description	This function takes either
	<ul> <li>a conformant ISO/IEC 19794-6 rectilinear image, or</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>an ROI-masked image, or</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>an unsegmented polar image,</li> </ul>
	and outputs a proprietary template. The implementation should inspect the input header to determine which kind of imagery is being provided, per the version number values given in section 7.2.
	The memory for the output template is allocated before the call i.e. the implementation shall not allocate memory for the result. In all cases, even when unable to extract features, the output shall be a template record that may be

5

	passed to the match_templates function without error. That is this routine must internally encode "template failed" and the matcher must transparently handle this.				
Input Parameters	input_record	An input image presented either as instance of Table 7, Table 8, or Table 9			
Output	Template_size	The size, in bytes, of the output template			
Parameters	proprietary_template	The output template. The format is entirely unregulated.			
Return Value	0	Success			
	1	Involuntary failure to extract features (e.g. could not find iris in the input-image)			
	2	Elective refusal to produce a template (e.g. insufficient iris area)			
	3	Cannot parse input data (i.e. assertion that input record is non-conformant)			
	Other	Vendor-defined failure			

- 1 The number of times a non-zero error codes is returned will be counted, reported and appropriately factored into
- 2 analyses.

3

6 7

16

# 8.2.8. Template comparison

4 This function compares two proprietary templates and returns a real-valued distance score.

# 5

# Table 19 - IREX API template matching

Prototype	INT32 match_templates( const BYTE *verification_template, const UINT16 verification_template_size, const BYTE *enrollment_template, const UINT16 enrollment_template, double *dissimilarity);				
Description	This function compares two opaque proprietary templates and outputs a non-negative match score.  The returned score is a distance measure. It need not satisfy the metric properties. NIST will allocate memory for this parameter before the call. the function shall assign the value -1 to the score.				
Input Parameters	verification_template	A template from create_template().			
	verification_template_size	The size, in bytes, of the input verification template $0 \le N \le 2^{16} - 1$			
	enrollment_template	A template from create_template().			
	enrollment_template_size	The size, in bytes, of the input enrollment template $0 \le N \le 2^{16} - 1$			
Output Parameters	dissimilarity	A dissimilarity score resulting from comparison of the templates, on the range [0,DBL_MAX]. See section 8.2.9.			
Return Value	0	Success			
	Other	Vendor-defined failure			

## 8.2.9. Dissimilarity score

- 8 The template comparison function shall return a measure of the dissimilarity between the persons whose iris data is
- 9 contained in the two templates. So, smaller values indicate more likelihood that the two samples are from the same
- 10 person. There is no requirement for values to obey the metric property.
- 11 This deviates from many prior NIST tests which have used "larger-is-more-genuine" semantics.

#### 12 8.2.10. Implementation identifiers

- 13 The implementation shall support the self-identification function of Table 20. This function is required to support internal
- 14 NIST book-keeping. The version numbers should be distinct between any versions which offer different algorithmic
- 15 functionality.

### Table 20 – IREX API get\_pids function

Prototype	INT32 get pid(UINT32 *nist assigned identifier):

Description	This function retrieves an identifier that the provider must request from NIST, and compile into the source code.  NIST will assign the identifier that will uniquely identify the supplier and the SDK version number.				
Output Parameters	nist_assigned_identifier  A PID which identifies the SDK under test. The memory for the identifier is allocated by NIST's calling application, and shall not be allocated by the SDK.				
Return Value	0	Success			
	Other	Vendor-defined failure			

# 1

2

3 4

## 8.3. Software and Documentation

# 8.3.1. SDK Library and Platform Requirements

- Participants shall provide NIST with binary code only (i.e. no source code) supporting files such as header (".h") files
- 5 notwithstanding. Such files shall not contain intellectual property of the company nor any material that is otherwise
- 6 proprietary. It is preferred that the SDK be submitted in the form of a single static library file (ie. ".LIB" for Windows or
- 7 ".a" for Linux). However, dynamic/shared library files are permitted.
- 8 If dynamic/shared library files are submitted, it is preferred that the API interface specified by this document be
- 9 implemented in a single "core" library file with the base filename 'libIREX' (for example, 'libIREX.dll' for Windows or
- 10 'libIREX.so' for Linux). Additional dynamic/shared library files may be submitted that support this "core" library file (i.e.
- 11 the "core" library file may have dependencies implemented in these other libraries).

# 12 **8.3.2.** Linking

- 13 NIST will link the provided library file(s) to a C language test driver application developed by NIST. The runtime
- 14 environment shall be either
- 15 The cygwin<sup>9</sup> layer running on a Windows Server 2003 OS.
- 16 RedHat Linux Enterprise 4 or 5 platforms.
- 17 Both will use GNU's gcc compiler, version 3.3.3. These use libc. The link command might be:
- 18 gcc -o irextest irextest.c -L. -lIREX
- 19 Participants are required to provide their library in a format that is linkable using GCC with the NIST test driver, which is
- 20 compiled with GCC. All compilation and testing will be performed on x86 platforms. Thus, participants are strongly
- 21 advised to verify library-level compatibility with GCC (on an equivalent platform) prior to submitting their software to
- 22 NIST to avoid linkage problems later on (e.g. symbol name and calling convention mismatches, incorrect binary file
- 23 formats, etc.).
- 24 Dependencies on external dynamic/shared libraries such as compiler-specific development environment libraries are
- discouraged. If absolutely necessary, external libraries must be provided to NIST upon prior approval by the Test Liaison.

# 26 **8.3.3.** Installation and Usage

- 27 The SDK must install easily (i.e. one installation step with no participant interaction required) to be tested, and shall be
- 28 executable on any number of machines without requiring additional machine-specific license control procedures or
- 29 activation.
- 30 The SDK's usage shall be unlimited. The SDK shall neither implement nor enforce any usage controls or limits based on
- 31 licenses, execution date/time, number of executions, presence of temporary files, etc.
- 32 It is recommended that the SDK be installable using simple file copy methods, and not require the use of a separate
- installation program. Contact the Test Liaison for prior approval if an installation program is absolutely necessary.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> According to <a href="http://www.cygwin.com/">http://www.cygwin.com/</a> is a Linux-like environment for Windows. It consists of two parts: A DLL (cygwin1.dll) which acts as a Linux API emulation layer providing substantial Linux API functionality; a collection of tools which provide Linux look and feel.

#### 1 8.3.4. Documentation

- 2 Participants shall provide complete documentation of the SDK and detail any additional functionality or behavior beyond
- 3 that specified here. The documentation must define all (non-zero) vendor-defined error or warning return codes..

#### 4 8.3.5. Modes of operation

- 5 Individual SDKs provided shall not include multiple "modes" of operation, or algorithm variations. No switches or options
- 6 will be tolerated within one library. For example, the use of two different "coders" by an iris feature extractor must be
- 7 split across two separate SDK libraries, and two separate submissions.

#### 8 8.4. Runtime behavior

# 9 **8.4.1. Speed**

14

- 10 The following limits are instituted to constrain NIST's total IREX computational workload.
- 11 The mean template match operation shall not exceed 10 milliseconds.
- 12 The mean template creation operation shall not exceed 1.2 seconds (using a 2GHz Pentium IV).
- 13 The mean iris segmentation operation (e.g. polar) shall not exceed 1.2 seconds (using a 2GHz Pentium IV).

Q21 Should these times be lowered (for operational relevance) or raised (for improved algorithmic function)?

### 15 **8.4.2.** Interactive behavior

- 16 The SDK will be tested in non-interactive "batch" mode (i.e. without terminal support). Thus, the submitted library shall
- 17 not use any interactive functions such as graphical user interface (GUI) calls, or any other calls which require terminal
- interaction e.g. reads from "standard input".

#### 19 8.4.3. Error codes and status messages

- 20 The SDK will be tested in non-interactive "batch" mod, without terminal support. Thus, the submitted library shall run
- 21 quietly, i.e. it should not write messages to "standard error" and shall not write to "standard output".

#### 22 8.4.4. Exception Handling

- 23 The application should include error/exception handling so that in the case of a fatal error, the return code is still
- 24 provided to the calling application.

#### 25 **8.4.5. External communication**

- 26 Processes running on NIST hosts shall not side-effect the runtime environment in any manner, except for memory
- 27 allocation and release. Implementations shall not write any data to external resource (e.g. server, file, connection, or
- 28 other process), nor read from such. If detected, NIST will take appropriate steps, including but not limited to, cessation of
- 29 evaluation of all implementations from the supplier, notification to the provider, and documentation of the activity in
- 30 published reports.

## 31 8.4.6. Stateful behavior

- 32 All components in this test shall be stateless. This applies to segmentation, feature extraction and matching. Thus, all
- 33 functions should give identical output, for a given input, independent of the runtime history. NIST will institute
- 34 appropriate tests to detect stateful behavior. If detected, NIST will take appropriate steps, including but not limited to,
- 35 cessation of evaluation of all implementations from the supplier, notification to the provider, and documentation of the
- 36 activity in published reports.

# 1 9. References

AN27	NIST Special Publication 500-271: American National Standard for Information Systems — <i>Data Format for the Interchange of Fingerprint, Facial, &amp; Other Biometric Information — Part 1.</i> (ANSI/NIST ITL 1-2007). Approved April 20, 2007.				
BATH06	S. Rakshit and D. M. Monro, Effects of Sampling and Compression on Human Iris Verification, Proc. IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, Vol. 2, No. II, pp. 337-340, Toulouse, May 2006				
BATH07	Soumyadip Rakshit and Donald M. Monro, <i>An Evaluation of Image Sampling and Compression for Human Iris Recognition</i> , IEEE Transactions On Information Forensics And Security, Vol. 2, No. 3, September 2007				
CAM07	JTC001-SC37-N-2125 — <i>UK Contribution on the effect of severe image compression on iris recognition performance</i> . May 29, 2007. This document was submitted as a UK contribution to SC 37 Working Group 3. It is available as: John Daugman and Cathryn Downing, <i>Effect of severe image compression, on iris recognition performance</i> . Technical Report No. 685, University of Cambridge, Computer Laboratory, UCAM-CL-TR-685, ISSN 1476-2986, May 07. <a href="http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/techreports/UCAM-CL-TR-685.pdf">http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/techreports/UCAM-CL-TR-685.pdf</a> <a href="http://isotc.iso.org/livelink/livelink/6461927/JTC001-SC37-N-2125.pdf?func=doc.Fetch&amp;nodeid=6461927">http://isotc.iso.org/livelink/livelink/6461927/JTC001-SC37-N-2125.pdf?func=doc.Fetch&amp;nodeid=6461927</a> Also The above material is part of the following: John Daugman and Cathryn Downing, <i>Effect of Severe Image Compression on Iris Recognition Performance</i> , IEEE Trans on Information Forensics and Security, Vol. 3, No. 1, March 2008.				
DHS	J. Mayer-Splain for DHS, DHS Technical Contribution in Support of Retaining the Iris Polar Image Format. August 31, 2007. This document is a password protected contribution toward the US position on the revision of 19794-6:2005. http://www.incits.org/tc_home/m1htm/2007xdocs/m1070456.pdf				
1379	American National Standard for Information Technology – <i>iris Image Format for Data Interchange</i> , ANSI/INCITS 379-2004, <a href="http://www.incits.org">http://www.incits.org</a>				
IRI07	JTC001-SC37-N-2296 US NB Contribution on Compact Iris Format: SC37 Link. Posted 2007-11-03.  This document was submitted to M1 for consideration as M1/07-0490.  Readers unable to access either link document should contact NIST.  http://isotc.iso.org/livelink/livelink/6904418/JTC001-SC37-N-2296.pdf?func=doc.Fetch&nodeid=6904418  http://www.incits.org/tc_home/m1htm/2007xdocs/m1070490.pdf				
LG	S. Shah, Comment on Iris Boundary Determination (Polar Representation) and Polar Format, September 7, 2007. This document is a password protected US contribution to the January 2008, SC37/WG3 meeting in Tel Aviv. <a href="http://www.incits.org/tc_home/m1htm/2007xdocs/m1070486.pdf">http://www.incits.org/tc_home/m1htm/2007xdocs/m1070486.pdf</a>				
MINEX	P. Grother et al., <i>Performance and Interoperability of the INCITS 378 Template</i> , NIST IR 7296 <a href="http://fingerprint.nist.gov/IREX04/IREX">http://fingerprint.nist.gov/IREX04/IREX</a> report.pdf				
MOC	P. Grother and W. Salamon, MINEX II - An Assessment of ISO/IEC 7816 Card-Based Match-on-Card Capabilities <a href="http://fingerprint.nist.gov/minex/minexII/NIST_MOC_ISO_CC_interop_test_plan_1102.pdf">http://fingerprint.nist.gov/minex/minexII/NIST_MOC_ISO_CC_interop_test_plan_1102.pdf</a>				
N2059	JTC001-SC37-N-2059 — German National Body contribution on the revision project on Iris Image Data standard ISO/IEC 19794-6. April 20, 2007				
N2124	JTC001-SC37-N-2124 — UK Contribution on a defect in ISO/IEC 19794-6 polar iris image format. May 29, 2007				
N2226	JTC001-SC37-N-2226 — Base document for revision of ISO/IEC 19794-6, Information technology: Biometric data interchange formats — Part 6: Iris image data				
NIST	P. Grother, NIST comments toward US position on N2226, revision of ISO/IEC 19794-6, September 7, 2007 <a href="http://www.incits.org/tc">http://www.incits.org/tc</a> home/m1htm/2007xdocs/m1070488.pdf				
PERFSTD	ISO/IEC FDIS 19795-4 — Biometric Performance Testing and Reporting — Part 4: Interoperability Performance Testing. Posted as document 37N2370.				
PROC	Hugo Proença and Luís A. Alexandre, Iris Recognition: An Analysis of the Aliasing Problem in the Iris Normalization Stage				
STD05	ISO/IEC 19794-6:2005 — Information technology — Biometric data interchange formats — Part 6: Iris image data.  The standard was published in 2005, and can be purchased from ANSI at <a href="http://webstore.ansi.org/">http://webstore.ansi.org/</a> or ISO.				
UNSEG	D. Kim, Introducing the Unsegmented Polar Format, posted as m1070606 and m1070606rev to the M1 document register: <a href="http://m1.incits.org/m1htm/2007docs/m1docreg">http://m1.incits.org/m1htm/2007docs/m1docreg</a> 2007.htm. These documents are password protected US contributions to the January 2008, SC37/WG3 meeting in Tel Aviv. Substantially the same information was				

	presented to the Second NIST Quality Workshop on November 8, 2007 and is linked here: <a href="http://www.itl.nist.gov/iad/894.03/quality/workshop07/presentations.html">http://www.itl.nist.gov/iad/894.03/quality/workshop07/presentations.html</a> as
	http://www.itl.nist.gov/iad/894.03/quality/workshop07/proc/Kim Introducing Unsegmented Polar Data Format for NIST Presentation 20071106 forPrinting.pdf
USNA	Robert W. Ives, Bradford L. Bonney, and Delores M. Etter, <i>Effect of Image Compression on Iris Recognition</i> , IMTC 2005 – Instrumentation and Measurement Technology Conference, Ottawa, Canada, 17-19 May, 2005.

# Annex A Application to participate in IREX

# A.1 Who should participate

3

- 4 Providers of implementations using ISO/IEC 19794-6:2005 iris images are invited to participate in IREX. In addition,
- 5 companies, research organizations, or universities that have developed mature prototypes or who research iris
- 6 segmentation or matching are invited to participate.
- 7 The algorithms and software need not be "operational," nor a production system, nor commercially available. However,
- 8 the system must, at a minimum, be a stable implementation capable of being "wrapped" (formatted) in the API
- 9 specification that NIST has specified in section 7.6 for this evaluation.
- 10 Anonymous participation will not be permitted. This means that signatories to this Agreement acknowledge that they
- 11 understand that the results (see sections 6.11 and Annex A.7) of the evaluation of the software and/or hardware will be
- 12 published with attribution to their organization(s).

# 13 A.2 How to participate

- 14 Those wishing to participate in IREX testing shall provide NIST with those components identified in the Participation
- 15 Classes given in section 6.1. Software shall be submitted as an SDK (Software Development Kit) library which complies
- 16 with the API (Application Programmer Interface) specified in this document.
- 17 In order to request participation in IREX, prospective participants must send a signed and fully completed copy of this
- 18 entire Annex A, including the Agreement Application to Participate in IREX, form below. This must identify, and include
- 19 signatures from, the Responsible Parties as defined in section A.4. It shall be sent to

IREX Test Liaison
National Institute of Standards and Technology
Information Access Division (894)

100 Bureau Drive
A203/Tech225/Stop 8940
Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8940
USA

In cases where the courier needs a phone number please use NIST shipping and handling at 301 nine seven five six two nine six.

# 20 A.3 NIST activity

#### 21 A.3.1 Initiation

- 22 Upon receipt of the signed Annex A form by NIST, the organization shall be classified as a "Participant". NIST must receive
- the form during the submission period described in schedule on Page 2 of this document.

### 24 A.3.2 Supplier validation

- 25 Registered Participants will be provided with a small Validation Dataset available on the website http://iris.nist.gov/irex.
- 26 Prior to submission of their SDK, the Participant must to verify that their software executes on the validation data, and
- 27 produces correct similarity scores and templates.

#### A.3.3 Submission of software to NIST

- 29 After the Participant has executed his software on the validation imagery, the participants shall send the software and its
- 30 validation output to NIST. All submitted material shall be encrypted using GnuPG (gpg) by downloading the IREX Test
- 31 Liaison's public key: <a href="http://fingerprint.nist.gov/minex/minex">http://fingerprint.nist.gov/minex/minex</a> pk.asc whose fingerprint is 448F 3828 719D B6B0 FDC5
- 32 3DE6 715B 6C72 062A 520A.
- 33 Importing it into your keyring using:

gpg --import minex pk.asc

- 1 Using it to encrypt your SDK (with ASCII armor if submitting via email): gpg -r minex -ae mysdk.zip
- 2 The result shall be emailed to irex@nist.gov.
- 3 NIST accepts no responsibility for unencrypted materials sent to NIST.

#### 4 A.3.4 Acceptance testing

- 5 Software submitted shall implement the IREX API Specification of section 7.6.
- 6 Upon receipt of the SDK and validation output, NIST will attempt to reproduce the output by executing the SDK on the
- 7 validation imagery, using a NIST computer. In the event of disagreement in the output, or other difficulties, the
- 8 Participant will be notified.
- 9 In the event cards or software is found to be non-functional or non-compliant with this document's specifications, or
- 10 where the validation dataset results cannot be replicated by NIST, Participants will be notified with a detailed description
- of the problem(s) and given a reasonable opportunity to resubmit (as time allows) according to the discretion of the IREX
- 12 Liaison.

## 13 A.3.5 Limits of testing

- 14 NIST will use the Participant's cards and SDK software only for the testing described in this document. The provided
- hardware and software will also be used to resolve any errors identified subsequent to the test or publication of results.
- 16 NIST agrees not to use the Participants software for purposes other than indicated herein, without express permission by
- 17 the Participant. NIST reserves the right to conduct analyses of the output data and measurements beyond those
- 18 described in this document.

#### 19 A.4 Parties

# 20 A.4.1 Responsible Party

21 The Responsible Party is an individual with the authority to commit the organization to the terms in this document.

#### 22 A.4.2 Point of contact

- 23 The Point of Contact is an individual with detailed knowledge of the system applying for participation.
- 24 The IREX Liaison is the government point of contact for IREX. All correspondence should be directed to <a href="irex@nist.gov">irex@nist.gov</a>,
- which will be received by the IREX Liaison and other IREX personnel.
- These correspondences may be posted on the FAQ (Frequently Asked Questions) area of the <a href="http://iris.nist.gov/irex">http://iris.nist.gov/irex</a> at the
- 27 discretion of the IREX Liaison. The identity of those persons or organizations whose correspondences lead to FAQ
- 28 postings will not be made public in the FAQ.

#### 29 A.5 Access to IREX validation data

- 30 The IREX Validation Data is supplied to Participants to assist in preparing for IREX.
- 31 The images in the IREX Validation Data are representative of the IREX Test Data only in their format. Image quality,
- 32 collection device and other characteristics are likely to vary between the Validation and Test Datasets.

#### 33 A.6 Access to IREX test data

- 34 The IREX Test Datasets are in some cases protected under the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a), and will be treated as Sensitive
- 35 but Unclassified and/or Law Enforcement Sensitive.
- 36 IREX Participants shall have no access to IREX Test Data, either before, during or after the test.

# 1 A.7 Reporting of results

#### 2 A.7.1 Reports

- 3 The Government will combine appropriate results into one or more IREX reports. Together these will contain, at a
- 4 minimum, descriptive information concerning IREX, descriptions of each experiment, and aggregate test results. NIST will
- 5 include

16

- 6 DET performance metrics as the primary indicators of one-to-one verification accuracy,
- 7 ISO/IEC 19795-4 interoperability matrices as the primary measures of interoperability, and
- 8 Image generation, template generation, and matching timing statistics.
- 9 NIST may compute and report other aggregate statistics.
- 10 NIST intends to release Phase 1 results to the participant only.
- 11 NIST intends to publish Phase 2 results in one or more NIST Interagency Reports. The Phase 2 reports will:
- 12 contain the names of Phase 1 participants,
- 13 not contain the results from Phase 1 participants' implementations,
- 14 contain the names of Phase 2 participants, and
- 15 contain the results of all Phase 2 participants' implementations which will associated with the participants names.

# 17 A.7.2 Pre-publication review

- 18 Participants will have an opportunity to review and comment on the reports. Participants' comments will be either
- 19 incorporated into the main body of the report (if it is decided NIST reported in error) or published as an addendum.
- 20 Comments will be attributed to the participant.

#### 21 A.7.3 Citation of the report

- 22 Subsequent to publication of our reports Participants may decide to use the results for their own purposes. Such results
- 23 shall be accompanied by the following phrase: "Results shown from the Iris Exchange Test (IREX) do not constitute
- 24 endorsement of any particular system by the U. S. Government." Such results shall also be accompanied by the URL of
- 25 the IREX Report on the IREX website, <a href="http://iris.nist.gov/irex">http://iris.nist.gov/irex</a>.

#### 26 A.7.4 Rights and ownership of the data

- 27 Any data generated, deduced, measured or otherwise obtained during IREX (excepting the submitted SDK itself), as well
- as any documentation required by the Government from the participants, becomes the property of the Government.
- 29 Participants will not possess a proprietary interest in the data and/or submitted documentation.

### 30 A.8 Return of the supplied materials

#### 31 A.8.1 Returning software to vendors

32 NIST will not return any supplied software, documentation, or other material to vendors.

#### 33 A.8.2 Returning cards to vendors

- NIST will not return cards to the provider. NIST will destroy the cards within ninety days of publication of the results for
- 35 that card or notification to the vendor that the card is inoperable. This requirement is needed because template data on
- 36 the card is protected and because NIST has no mechanism to assure deletion of templates from the card. However, NIST
- 37 to support debugging NIST may, at its sole discretion, return cards during the initial acceptance testing phase.

# A.9 Agreement to participate

1

16 17

18 19

20 21

- 2 With the signing of this form, Participants attest that they will not file any IREX-related claim against IREX Sponsors,
- 3 Supporters, staff, contractors, or agency of the U.S. Government, or otherwise seek compensation for any equipment,
- 4 materials, supplies, information, travel, labor and/or other participant provided services.
- 5 The Government is not bound or obligated to follow any recommendations that may be submitted by the Participant. The
- 6 United States Government, or any individual agency, is not bound, nor is it obligated, in any way to give any special
- 7 consideration to IREX Participants on future contracts, grants or other activities.

This form shall be completed by all suppliers electing to participate in the IREX evaluation.

- 8 With the signing of this form, Participants realize that any test details and/or modifications that are provided in the IREX
- 9 <u>website</u> supersede the information on this form.
- 10 With the signing of this form, Participants realize that they can withdraw from the IREX at any time prior to the end of the
- 11 Phase 1 submission window, without their participation and withdrawal being documented in the IREX Final Report.

NIST assigne	ed identifier for the supplied	SDK.						
Responsible	Party for supplier of iris seg	mentation, en	coding a	nd/or ma	atching techn	ologies.		
Company / 0	Organization Name							
Title	First Name		MI	Last Name			Suffix	
Street Addre	ess							
City			State		Zip		Country	
Phone	Phone		Fax		Email			
Technical po	pint of contact	Phone		Email				
With my si	gnature, I agree that this	document is	a suffici	ient des	cription of th	he test to	be conducted.	
-	gnature, I hereby request izing my company or orga			-		-		
With my si	gnature, I also state that I	I have the au	thority 1	to accep	t the terms	stated in t	his document	
SIGNATURI	E OF SOFTWARE SUPPLIER	R RESPONSIB	LE PART	Ύ	DATE		<del></del> ,	